arXiv:solv-int/9612011v1 24 Dec 1996

SOME KERNELS ON A RIEMANN SURFACE

ROBERT CARROLL
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, IL 61801*

December, 1996

Abstract

We discuss certain kernels on a Riemann surface constructed mainly via Baker-Akhiezer (BA) functions and indicate relations to dispersionless theory.

1 INTRODUCTION

A preliminary sketch of some of this material was given in [13], and in view of recent developments in [14, 15, 16, 21, 50, 51, 52, 55], we have expanded and rewritten that manuscript into [17] plus the present paper. We develop here, on a Riemann surface, a version of a kernel constructed in [10] for dispersionless KP (dKP), which is in fact equivalent to the dispersionless differential Fay identity (cf. also [41, 42, 62] for related material). There is also some discussion of other kernels and relations among them.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 BA functions

For completeness we recall certain information about Riemann surfaces and BA functions following [13, 17]. The use of BA functions goes back to [7] for example but was developed in modern times by the Russian school (see e.g. [8, 9, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 46, 48, 49, 56, 61]). Thus take an arbitrary Riemann surface Σ of genus g, pick a point $Q \sim P_\infty$ and a local variable $1/k$ near Q such that $k(Q) = \infty$, and, for illustration, take $q(k) = kx + k^2y + k^3t$. Let $D = P_1 + \cdots + P_g$ be a non-special divisor of degree g and write ψ for the (unique up to a constant multiplier by virtue of the Riemann-Roch theorem) Baker-Akhiezer (BA) function characterized by the properties (**A**) ψ is meromorphic on Σ except for Q where $\psi(P)exp(-q(k))$ is analytic and (*) $\psi \sim exp(q(k))[1+\sum_1^\infty(\xi_j/k^j)]$ near Q. (**B**) On Σ/Q, ψ

---

*email: rcarroll@symcom.math.uiuc.edu

has only a finite number of poles (at the P_i). In fact ψ can be taken in the form ($P \in \Sigma,\ P_0 \neq Q$)

$$\psi(x,y,t,P) = exp[\int_{P_0}^{P}(xd\Omega^1 + yd\Omega^2 + td\Omega^3)] \cdot \frac{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + xU + yV + tW + z_0)}{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + z_0)} \qquad (2.1)$$

where $d\Omega^1 = dk + \cdots,\ d\Omega^2 = d(k^2) + \cdots,\ d\Omega^3 = d(k^3) + \cdots, U_j = \int_{B_j} d\Omega^1,\ V_j = \int_{B_j} d\Omega^2,\ W_j = \int_{B_j} d\Omega^3\ (j = 1, \cdots, g),\ z_0 = -\mathcal{A}(D) - K$, and Θ is the Riemann theta function. The symbol $\sim$ will be used generally to mean "corresponds to" or "is associated with"; occasionally it also denotes asymptotic behavior and this should be clear from the context. Here the $d\Omega_j$ are meromorphic differentials of second kind normalized via $\int_{A_k} d\Omega_j = 0$ (A_j, B_j are canonical homology cycles) and we note that $xd\Omega^1 + yd\Omega^2 + td\Omega^3 \sim dq(k)$ normalized. $\mathcal{A}$ is the Abel-Jacobi map $\mathcal{A}(P) = (\int_{P_0}^{P} d\omega_k)$, where the $d\omega_k$ are normalized holomorphic differentials, $k = 1, \cdots, g,\ \int_{A_j} d\omega_k = \delta_{jk}$, and $K = (K_j) \sim$ Riemann constants ($2K = -\mathcal{A}(K_\Sigma)$ where K_Σ is the canonical class of $\Sigma \sim$ equivalence class of meromorphic differentials). Thus $\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + z_0)$ has exactly g zeros (or vanishes identically. The paths of integration are to be the same in computing $\int_{P_0}^{P} d\Omega^i$ or $\mathcal{A}(P)$ and it is shown in [7, 8, 13, 23] that ψ is well defined (i.e. path independent). Then the ξ_j in (*) can be computed formally and one determines Lax operators L and A such that $\partial_y\psi = L\psi$ with $\partial_t\psi = A\psi$. Indeed, given the ξ_j write $u = -2\partial_x\xi_1$ with $w = 3\xi_1\partial_x\xi_1 - 3\partial_x^2\xi_1 - 3\partial_x\xi_2$. Then formally, near Q, one has $(-\partial_y + \partial_x^2 + u)\psi = O(1/k)exp(q)$ and $(-\partial_t + \partial_x^3 + (3/2)u\partial_x + w)\psi = O(1/k)exp(q)$ (i.e. this choice of u, w makes the coefficients of $k^n exp(q)$ vanish for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$). Now define $L = \partial_x^2 + u$ and $A = \partial_x^3 + (3/2)u\partial_x + w$ so $\partial_y\psi = L\psi$ and $\partial_t\psi = A\psi$. This follows from the uniqueness of BA functions with the same essential singularity and pole divisors (Riemann-Roch). Then we have, via compatibility $L_t - A_y = [A, L]$, a KP equation $(3/4)u_{yy} = \partial_x[u_t - (1/4)(6uu_x + u_{xxx})]$ and therefore such KP equations are parametrized by nonspecial divisors or equivalently by points in general position on the Jacobian variety $J(\Sigma)$. The flow variables x, y, t are put in by hand in (**A**) via $q(k)$ and then miraculously reappear in the theta function via $xU + yV + tW$; thus the Riemann surface itself contributes to establish these as linear flow variables on the Jacobian. The pole positions P_i do not vary with x, y, t and (†) $u = 2\partial_x^2 log\Theta(xU + yV + tW + z_0) + c$ exhibits Θ as a tau function.

We recall also that a divisor D^* of degree g is dual to D (relative to Q) if $D + D^*$ is the null divisor of a meromorphic differential $d\hat{\Omega} = dk + (\beta/k^2)dk + \cdots$ with a double pole at Q (look at $\zeta = 1/k$ to recognize the double pole). Thus $D + D^* - 2Q \sim K_\Sigma$ so $\mathcal{A}(D^*) - \mathcal{A}(Q) + K = -[\mathcal{A}(D) - \mathcal{A}(Q) + K]$. One can define then a function $\psi^*(x, y, t, P) = exp(-kx - k^2y - k^3t)[1 + \xi_1^*/k) + \cdots]$ based on D^* (dual BA function) and a differential $d\hat{\Omega}$ with zero divisor $D + D^*$, such that $\phi = \psi\psi^* d\hat{\Omega}$ is meromorphic, having for poles only a double pole at Q (the zeros of $d\hat{\Omega}$ cancel the poles of $\psi\psi^*$). Thus $\psi\psi^* d\hat{\Omega} \sim \psi\psi^*(1 + (\beta/k^2 + \cdots)dk$ is meromorphic with a second order pole at ∞, and no other poles. For $L^* = L$ and $A^* = -A + 2w - (3/2)u_x$ one has then $(\partial_y + L^*)\psi^* = 0$ and $(\partial_t + A^*)\psi^* = 0$. Note that the prescription above seems to specify for ψ^* ($\vec{U} = xU + yV + tW,\ z_0^* = -\mathcal{A}(D^*) - K$)

$$\psi^* \sim e^{-\int_{P_o}^{P}(xd\Omega^1 + yd\Omega^2 + td\Omega^3)} \cdot \frac{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) - \vec{U} + z_0^*)}{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + z_0^*)} \tag{2.2}$$

In any event the message here is that for any Riemann surface Σ one can produce a BA function ψ with assigned flow variables $x, y, t, \cdots$ and this ψ gives rise to a (nonlinear) KP equation with solution u linearized on the Jacobian $J(\Sigma)$. For averaging with KP (cf. [13, 17, 29, 46]) we can use formulas (cf. (2.1) and (2.2))

$$\psi = e^{px+Ey+\Omega t} \cdot \phi(Ux + Vy + Wt, P) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\psi^* = e^{-px-Ey-\Omega t} \cdot \phi^*(-Ux - Vy - Wt, P) \tag{2.4}$$

to isolate the quantities of interest in averaging (here $p = p(P),\ E = E(P),\ \Omega = \Omega(P)$, etc.) We think here of a general Riemann surface Σ_g with holomorphic differentials $d\omega_k$ and quasi-momenta and quasi-energies of the form $dp = d\Omega^1,\ dE = d\Omega^2,\ d\Omega = d\Omega^3, \cdots$ ($p = \int_{P_0}^{P} d\Omega^1$ etc.) where the $d\Omega^j = d\Omega_j = d(\lambda^j + O(\lambda^{-1}))$ are meromorphic differentials of the second kind. Following [46] one could normalize now via $\Re \int_{A_j} d\Omega^k = \Re \int_{B_j} d\Omega^k = 0$. Then write e.g. $U_k = (1/2\pi i) \oint_{A_k} dp$ and $U_{k+g} = -(1/2\pi i) \oint_{B_k} dp$ ($k = 1, \cdots, g$) with similar stipulations for $V_k \sim \oint d\Omega^2$, $W_k \sim \oint d\Omega^3$, etc. This leads to real $2g$ period vectors and evidently one could also normalize via $\oint_{A_m} d\Omega^k = 0$ or $\Im \oint_{A_m} d\Omega^k = \Im \oint_{B_m} d\Omega^k = 0$ (further we set $B_{jk} = \oint_{B_k} d\omega_j$).

2.2 KP and dKP

We follow here [10, 12] (cf. also [41, 62]) and begin with two pseudodifferential operators ($\partial = \partial/\partial x$),

$$L = \partial + \sum_1^\infty u_{n+1}\partial^{-n}; \quad W = 1 + \sum_1^\infty w_n \partial^{-n}\ , \tag{2.5}$$

called the Lax operator and gauge operator respectively, where $L = W\partial W^{-1}$. The KP hierarchy then is determined by the Lax equations ($\partial_n = \partial/\partial t_n$),

$$\partial_n L = [B_n, L] = B_n L - L B_n\ , \tag{2.6}$$

where $B_n = L_+^n$ is the differential part of $L^n = L_+^n + L_-^n = \sum_0^\infty \ell_i^n \partial^i + \sum_{-\infty}^{-1} \ell_i^n \partial^i$. One can also express this via the Sato equation $\partial_n W\, W^{-1} = -L_-^n$ which is particularly well adapted to the dKP theory. Now define the wave function via

$$\psi = W\, e^{\xi} = w(t,\lambda) e^{\xi}; \quad \xi = \sum_1^\infty t_n \lambda^n; \quad w(t,\lambda) = 1 + \sum_1^\infty w_n(t)\lambda^{-n}\ , \tag{2.7}$$

where $t_1 = x$. There is also an adjoint wave function $\psi^* = W^{*-1}\exp(-\xi) = w^*(t,\lambda)\exp(-\xi)$, with $w^*(t,\lambda) = 1 + \sum_1^\infty w_i^*(t)\lambda^{-i}$ and one has equations $L\psi = \lambda\psi;\ \ \partial_n\psi = B_n\psi;\ \ L^*\psi^* =$

$\lambda\psi^*$; $\partial_n\psi^* = -B_n^*\psi^*$. Note that the KP hierarchy (2.6) is then given by the compatibility conditions among these equations, treating λ as a constant. Next one has the fundamental tau function $\tau(t)$ and vertex operators $\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{X}^*$ satisfying

$$\psi(t,\lambda) = \frac{\mathbf{X}(\lambda)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)} = \frac{e^{\xi}G_-(\lambda)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)} = \frac{e^{\xi}\tau(t-[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)}; \tag{2.8}$$

$$\psi^*(t,\lambda) = \frac{\mathbf{X}^*(\lambda)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)} = \frac{e^{-\xi}G_+(\lambda)\tau(t)}{\tau(t)} = \frac{e^{-\xi}\tau(t+[\lambda^{-1}])}{\tau(t)}$$

where $G_\pm(\lambda) = \exp(\pm\xi(\tilde{\partial},\lambda^{-1}))$ with $\tilde{\partial} = (\partial_1, (1/2)\partial_2, (1/3)\partial_3, \cdots)$ and $t \pm [\lambda^{-1}] = (t_1 \pm \lambda^{-1}, t_2 \pm (1/2)\lambda^{-2}, \cdots)$. One writes also

$$e^{\xi} = \exp\left(\sum_1^\infty t_n\lambda^n\right) = \sum_0^\infty \chi_j(t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_j)\lambda^j \tag{2.9}$$

where the χ_j are the elementary Schur polynomials, which arise in many important formulas (cf. below).

We mention now the famous bilinear identity which generates the entire KP hierarchy. This has the form (**H**) $\oint_\infty \psi(t,\lambda)\psi^*(t',\lambda)d\lambda = 0$ where $\oint_\infty(\cdot)d\lambda$ is the residue integral about ∞, which we also denote $Res_\lambda[(\cdot)d\lambda]$. Using (2.8) this can also be written in terms of tau functions as

$$\oint_\infty \tau(t-[\lambda^{-1}])\tau(t'+[\lambda^{-1}])e^{\xi(t,\lambda)-\xi(t',\lambda)}d\lambda = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

This leads to the characterization of the tau function in bilinear form expressed via $(t \to t-y,\ t' \to t+y)$

$$\left(\sum_0^\infty \chi_n(-2y)\chi_{n+1}(\tilde{\partial})e^{\sum_1^\infty y_i\partial_i}\right)\tau\cdot\tau = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

where $\partial_j^m a\cdot b = (\partial^m/\partial s_j^m)a(t_j+s_j)b(t_j-s_j)|_{s=0}$ and $\tilde{\partial} = (\partial_1, (1/2)\partial_2, (1/3)\partial_3, \cdots)$. In particular, we have from the coefficients of y_n in (2.11), (**HE**) $\partial_1\partial_n\tau\cdot\tau = 2\chi_{n+1}(\tilde{\partial})\tau\cdot\tau$, which are called the Hirota bilinear equations. One has also the Fay identity via (cf. [1, 10] - c.p. means cyclic permutations)

$$\sum_{c.p.}(s_0-s_1)(s_2-s_3)\tau(t+[s_0]+[s_1])\tau(t+[s_2]+[s_3]) = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

which can be derived from the bilinear identity (2.10). Differentiating this in s_0, then setting $s_0 = s_3 = 0$, then dividing by s_1s_2, and finally shifting $t \to t-[s_2]$, leads to the differential Fay identity,

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau(t)\partial\tau(t+[s_1]-[s_2]) - \tau(t+[s_1]-[s_2])\partial\tau(t)\\ &= (s_1^{-1}-s_2^{-1})\left[\tau(t+[s_1]-[s_2])\tau(t) - \tau(t+[s_1])\tau(t-[s_2])\right]\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

The Hirota equations after (2.11) can be also derived from (2.13) by taking the limit $s_1 \to s_2$. The identity (2.13) will play an important role later.

Now for the dispersionless theory (dKP) one can think of fast and slow variables, etc., or averaging procedures, but simply one takes $t_n \to \epsilon t_n = T_n$ ($t_1 = x \to \epsilon x = X$) in the KP equation $u_t = (1/4)u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + (3/4)\partial^{-1}u_{yy}$, ($y = t_2$, $t = t_3$), with $\partial_n \to \epsilon\partial/\partial T_n$ and $u(t_n) \to U(T_n)$ to obtain $\partial_T U = 3UU_X + (3/4)\partial^{-1}U_{YY}$ when $\epsilon \to 0$ ($\partial = \partial/\partial X$ now). Thus the dispersion term u_{xxx} is removed. In terms of hierarchies we write $(\mathbf{L}) : \ L_\epsilon = \epsilon\partial + \sum_1^\infty u_{n+1}(T/\epsilon)(\epsilon\partial)^{-n}$ and think of $u_n(T/\epsilon) = U_n(T) + O(\epsilon)$, etc. One takes then a WKB form for the wave function with the action S

$$\psi = \exp\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}S(T,\lambda)\right] \tag{2.14}$$

Replacing now ∂_n by $\epsilon\partial_n$, where $\partial_n = \partial/\partial T_n$ now, we define $P = \partial S = S_X$. Then $\epsilon^i\partial^i\psi \to P^i\psi$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ and the equation $L\psi = \lambda\psi$ becomes $(\bullet\heartsuit\bullet)$ $\lambda = P + \sum_1^\infty U_{n+1}P^{-n}$; $P = \lambda - \sum_1^\infty P_{i+1}\lambda^{-i}$, where the second equation is simply the inversion of the first. We also note from $\partial_n\psi = B_n\psi = \sum_0^n b_{nm}(\epsilon\partial)^m\psi$ that one obtains $\partial_n S = \mathcal{B}_n(P) = \lambda^n_+$ where the subscript $(+)$ refers now to powers of P (note $\epsilon\partial_n\psi/\psi \to \partial_n S$). Thus $B_n = L^n_+ \to \mathcal{B}_n(P) = \lambda^n_+ = \sum_0^n b_{nm}P^m$ and the KP hierarchy goes to $(\bullet\diamondsuit\bullet)$ $\partial_n P = \partial\mathcal{B}_n$ which is the dKP hierarchy (note $\partial_n S = \mathcal{B}_n \Rightarrow \partial_n P = \partial\mathcal{B}_n$). The action S in (2.14) can be computed from (2.8) in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ as

$$S = \sum_1^\infty T_n\lambda^n - \sum_1^\infty \frac{\partial_m F}{m}\lambda^{-m} \tag{2.15}$$

where the function $F = F(T)$ (free energy) is defined by

$$\tau = \exp\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}F(T)\right] \tag{2.16}$$

The formula (2.15) then solves the dKP hierarchy $(\bullet\diamondsuit\bullet)$, i.e. $P = \mathcal{B}_1 = \partial S$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \partial_n S = \lambda^n - \sum_1^\infty \frac{F_{nm}}{m}\lambda^{-m} \tag{2.17}$$

where $F_{nm} = \partial_n\partial_m F$ which play an important role in the theory of dKP.

Now following [62] one writes the differential Fay identity (2.13) with $\epsilon\partial_n$ replacing ∂_n, looks at logarithms, and passes $\epsilon \to 0$ (using (2.16)). Then only the second order derivatives survive, and one gets the dispersionless differential Fay identity

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^\infty \mu^{-m}\lambda^{-n}\frac{F_{mn}}{mn} = \log\left(1 - \sum_1^\infty \frac{\mu^{-n} - \lambda^{-n}}{\mu - \lambda}\frac{F_{1n}}{n}\right) \tag{2.18}$$

Although (2.18) only uses a subset of the Plücker relations defining the KP hierarchy it was shown in [62] that this subset is sufficient to determine KP; hence (2.18) characterizes the function F for dKP. Following [10, 12], we now derive a dispersionless limit of the Hirota bilinear equations (**HE**), which we call the dispersionless Hirota equations. We first note from (2.15) and ($\bullet\heartsuit\bullet$) that $F_{1n} = nP_{n+1}$ so

$$\sum_1^\infty \lambda^{-n}\frac{F_{1n}}{n} = \sum_1^\infty P_{n+1}\lambda^{-n} = \lambda - P(\lambda) \tag{2.19}$$

Consequently the right side of (2.18) becomes $\log[\frac{P(\mu)-P(\lambda)}{\mu-\lambda}]$ and for $\mu \to \lambda$ with $\dot{P} = \partial_\lambda P$ we have

$$\log \dot{P}(\lambda) = \sum_{m,n=1}^\infty \lambda^{-m-n}\frac{F_{mn}}{mn} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{n+m=j}\frac{F_{mn}}{mn}\right)\lambda^{-j} \tag{2.20}$$

Then using the elementary Schur polynomial defined in (2.9) and ($\bullet\heartsuit\bullet$), we obtain

$$\dot{P}(\lambda) = \sum_0^\infty \chi_j(Z_2,\cdots,Z_j)\lambda^{-j} = 1 + \sum_1^\infty F_{1j}\lambda^{-j-1};\ Z_i = \sum_{m+n=i}\frac{F_{mn}}{mn}\ \ (Z_1 = 0) \tag{2.21}$$

Thus we obtain the dispersionless Hirota equations,

$$F_{1j} = \chi_{j+1}(Z_1 = 0, Z_2, \cdots, Z_{j+1}) \tag{2.22}$$

These can be also derived directly from the Hirota equations (**HE**) with (2.16) in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ or by expanding (2.20) in powers of λ^{-n} as in [10, 12]). The equations (2.22) then characterize dKP.

It is also interesting to note that the dispersionless Hirota equations (2.22) can be regarded as algebraic equations for "symbols" F_{mn}, which are defined via (2.17), i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \lambda_+^n = \lambda^n - \sum_1^\infty \frac{F_{nm}}{m}\lambda^{-m} \tag{2.23}$$

and in fact

$$F_{nm} = F_{mn} = Res_P[\lambda^m d\lambda_+^n] \tag{2.24}$$

Thus for $\lambda,\ P$ given algebraically as in ($\bullet\heartsuit\bullet$), with no a priori connection to dKP, and for $\mathcal{B}_n$ defined as in (2.23) via a formal collection of symbols with two indices F_{mn}, it follows that the dispersionless Hirota equations (2.22) are nothing but polynomial identities among F_{mn}. In particular one has from [10]

- (2.24) with (2.22) completely characterizes and solves the dKP hierarchy.

Now one very natural way of developing dKP begins with ($\bullet\heartsuit\bullet$) and ($\bullet\diamondsuit\bullet$) since eventually the P_{j+1} can serve as universal coordinates (cf. here [6] for a discussion of this in connection with topological field theory = TFT). This point of view is also natural in terms of developing a Hamilton-Jacobi theory involving ideas from the hodograph $-$ Riemann invariant approach (cf. [11, 30, 41, 43, 44] and in connecting NKdV ideas to TFT, strings, and quantum gravity. It is natural here to work with $Q_n = (1/n)\mathcal{B}_n$ and note that $\partial_n S = \mathcal{B}_n$ corresponds to $\partial_n P = \partial \mathcal{B}_n = n\partial Q_n$. In this connection one often uses different time variables, say $T'_n = nT_n$, so that $\partial'_n P = \partial Q_n$, and $G_{mn} = F_{mn}/mn$ is used in place of F_{mn}. Here however we will retain the T_n notation with $\partial_n S = nQ_n$ and $\partial_n P = n\partial Q_n$ since one will be connecting a number of formulas to standard KP notation. Now given (•♡•) and (•◇•) the equation $\partial_n P = n\partial Q_n$ corresponds to Benney's moment equations and is equivalent to a system of Hamiltonian equations defining the dKP hierarchy (cf. [11, 41]); the Hamilton-Jacobi equations are $\partial_n S = nQ_n$ with Hamiltonians $nQ_n(X, P = \partial S))$. There is now an important formula involving the functions Q_n from [41], namely the generating function of $\partial_P Q_n(\lambda)$ is given by

$$K(\mu, \lambda) = \frac{1}{P(\mu) - P(\lambda)} = \sum_1^\infty \partial_P Q_n(\lambda)\mu^{-n} \tag{2.25}$$

In particular one notes

$$\oint_\infty \frac{\mu^n}{P(\mu) - P(\lambda)} d\mu = \partial_P Q_{n+1}(\lambda)\ , \tag{2.26}$$

which gives a key formula in the Hamilton-Jacobi method for the dKP [41]. Also note here that the function $P(\lambda)$ alone provides all the information necessary for the dKP theory. It is proved in [10] that

- The kernel formula (2.25) is equivalent to the dispersionless differential Fay identity (2.18).

The proof uses

$$\partial_P Q_n = \chi_{n-1}(Q_1, \cdots, Q_{n-1}) \tag{2.27}$$

where $\chi_n(Q_1, \cdots, Q_n)$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $Q_1 = P$ with the coefficients given by polynomials in the P_{j+1}. Indeed one shows that $\chi_n = \partial_P Q_{n+1}$ via a determinant construction and this leads to the observation that the F_{mn} can be expressed as polynomials in $P_{j+1} = F_{1j}/j$. Thus the dispersionless Hirota equations can be solved totally algebraically via $F_{mn} = \Phi_{mn}(P_2, P_3, \cdots, P_{m+n})$ where Φ_{mn} is a polynomial in the P_{j+1} so the $F_{1n} = nP_{n+1}$ are generating elements for the F_{mn}, and serve as universal coordinates. Indeed formulas such as (2.27) indicate that in fact dKP theory can be characterized using only elementary Schur polynomials since these provide all the information necessary for the kernel (2.25) or equivalently for the dispersionless differential Fay identity. This amounts also to observing that in the passage from KP to dKP only certain Schur polynomials survive the limiting process $\epsilon \to 0$. Such terms involve second derivatives of F and these may be characterized in terms of Young diagrams with only vertical or horizontal boxes. This is also related to the explicit form of the hodograph transformation where one needs only $\partial_P Q_n = \chi_{n-1}(Q_1, \cdots, Q_{n-1})$ and the P_{j+1} in the expansion of P (cf. [10]). Given KP and dKP theory we can now discuss nKdV or dnKdV easily although many special aspects of nKdV for example are not visible in KP. In particular for the F_{ij} one will have

$F_{nj} = F_{jn} = 0$ for dnKdV. We note also (cf. [42]) that from (2.27) one has

$$\frac{1}{P(\mu) - P(\lambda)} = \sum_1^\infty \partial_P Q_n \mu^{-n} = \sum_0^\infty \chi_n(Q)\mu^{-n} = exp(\sum_1^\infty Q_m \mu^{-m}) \tag{2.28}$$

3 CAUCHY TYPE KERNELS

3.1 The background kernel

One aim of this paper is to produce a Riemann surface analogue of the kernel $K(\mu, \lambda)$ of (2.25) $\sim$ (2.28), namely

$$K(\mu, \lambda) = \frac{1}{P(\mu) - P(\lambda)} = \sum_1^\infty \partial_P Q_n(\lambda)\mu^{-n} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\partial_P Q_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\infty \frac{\mu^n d\mu}{P(\mu) - P(\lambda)} \tag{3.2}$$

Here $nQ_n = \mathcal{B}_n = \partial_n S$ so the desired analogy would naturally arise from

$$\partial_n d\mathcal{S} = d\Omega_n \tag{3.3}$$

(cf. [17] for an extensive discussion). On the other hand $\partial_P Q_n$ suggests using $p \sim P$ (recall $P = S_X$ and $dp = d\Omega_1$ with $\partial d\mathcal{S} = d\Omega_1$ where $\partial \sim \partial_X$ with $X \sim T_1$). Thus, denoting by $\gamma \in \Sigma_g$ a point on the Riemann surface, one has heuristically for $\Omega_n(\gamma) \sim \int^\gamma d\Omega_n$, the correspondences $\Omega_n \sim \mathcal{B}_n = nQ_n$ and

$$\frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial P} \sim \frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial \Omega_n}{\partial p} \sim \frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial \Omega_n/\partial \gamma}{\partial p/\partial \gamma} = \frac{1}{n}\frac{d\Omega_n}{dp} = \frac{1}{n}\frac{d\Omega_n}{d\Omega_1} \tag{3.4}$$

Note that in referring to $Q_n(\lambda)$ for example one is thinking of λ near ∞ and the expressions (3.1) - (3.2) involve then both λ and μ near ∞; we think of $\lambda \sim k$ near ∞ and $z = \lambda^{-1} \sim k^{-1}$. The analogue to (3.1) is now

$$\mathcal{K}(\mu, \lambda) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{d\Omega_j}{d\Omega_1}(\lambda)\frac{\mu^{-j}}{j} \tag{3.5}$$

It will be seen that (3.5) can be related to a local Cauchy kernel on a Riemann surface expressible in terms of $d_\zeta log\, E(\zeta, z)$ where E is the Fay-Klein prime form, and this will be our first project.

3.2 Theta functions and the prime form

In [13] we organized this material in two forms, one from a physics viewpoint following [3, 4, 5] and another following [27, 54]. In a sense [27] is surely the basic reference here but we will develop this material in a somewhat shortened approach following [2] (the notation of [2, 4, 60] is equivalent and this gives us recourse to a number of formulas immediately, without worrying about factors of $2\pi i$ etc.). We refer generally to [2, 4, 8, 9, 13, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 38, 39, 53, 54, 57] for information on Riemann surfaces, theta functions, half differentials, etc. Thus begin with Σ_g a compact Riemann surface of genus g with a canonical homology basis (A_i, B_i) and a complex atlas (U_α, z_α). Divisors have the form $\sum n_i P_i$ with $deg(D) = \sum n_i$ and $D \sim D'$ if $D - D' = (f)$ is the divisor of a meromorphic function (principal divisor). One wants to consider spinors of the form $T \sim f_\alpha(z_\alpha) dz_\alpha^\lambda$ where λ is an integer or a half-integer. For integer λ, T_1/T_2 is a meromorphic function so $(f^1) - (f^2)$ is a principal divisor $(T_i \sim f^i)$ and $D^\lambda \sim$ equivalence class of divisors of λ differentials. D^1 is the canonical class K_Σ of one differentials having degree $2g-2$ (cf. Section 2.1). For $\lambda = 1/2$ the transition functions $(U_\alpha, z_\alpha) \to (U_\beta, z_\beta)$ is $g_{\alpha\beta} = (dz_\alpha/dz_\beta)^{1/2}$ and the cocycle condition $g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\gamma} g_{\gamma\delta} = 1$ must be satisfied. This leads to 2^{2g} inequivalent divisor classes $D_\alpha^{1/2}$ parametrized by a (characteristic) pair $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ of g-dimensional vectors $\alpha_i \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}\}^g$ $(i = 1, 2)$ with components 0 or 1/2. We recall that $\mathcal{A}_i(P) = \int_{P_o}^P d\omega_i$ where $P_0 \neq P_\infty$ and $d\omega_i \sim$ basis of holomorphic differentials satisfying ($\spadesuit$) $\oint_{A_i} d\omega_j = \delta_{ij}$; $\oint_{B_i} d\omega_j = B_{ij}$; $\Im(B_{ij}) > 0$. In [27] one uses $\oint_{A_k} d\omega_j = 2\pi i \delta_{jk}$ and takes $\Re(\oint_{B_k} d\omega_j < 0$ (see Remark 3.1 below). We recall that the Jacobian $J(\Sigma_g) \sim \mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{Z}^g + B\mathbf{Z}^g)$ and the Abel map $\mathcal{A}$ extends to divisors $D = \sum n_i P_i$ via $\mathcal{A}(D) = \sum n_i \mathcal{A}(P_i)$. One knows that D is a principal divisor if and only if $\mathcal{A}(D) = 0$ (modulo the lattice). Further $\mathcal{A}(K_\Sigma) \sim 2\Delta$ where $\Delta \sim$ vector of Riemann constants (cf. Section 2.1 where we have used $K \sim -\Delta$ to agree with other notations).

Now the equivalence classes of 1/2 differentials turn out to be characterized by $D_\alpha^{1/2} = \Delta - B\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ and to $D_\alpha^{1/2}$ one associates a theta function

$$\Theta[\alpha](z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^g} exp[i\pi(n+\alpha_1)B(n+\alpha_1)^T + 2\pi i(z+\alpha_2)(n+\alpha_1)^T] \tag{3.6}$$

This is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C}^g$ and quasiperiodic via (sometimes we write z for z^T)

$$\Theta[\alpha](z + Bn + m) = exp\left(-i\pi n B n^T - 2\pi n(z+\alpha_2) + 2\pi i m \alpha_1\right)\Theta[\alpha](z) \tag{3.7}$$

Further ($\bullet$) $\Theta[\alpha](-z) = (-1)^{4\alpha_1\alpha_2}\Theta[\alpha](z)$ and α is called even or odd depending on whether $\Theta[\alpha](z)$ is even or odd. We recall next by the Riemann vanishing theorem that the zeros of $\Theta[\alpha](z)$ form the set of $z \in \mathbf{C}^g$ represented via

$$z = \mathcal{A}\left(D_\alpha^{1/2} - \sum_1^{g-1} P_i\right) = \Delta - B\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \sum_1^{g-1} \mathcal{A}(P_i) \tag{3.8}$$

($P_1, \cdots, P_{g-1}$ being arbitrary). For odd α, $\Theta[\alpha](0) = 0$, so by (3.8) there exists $g-1$ points $P_{\alpha,i} \in \Sigma_g$ such that $D_\alpha^{1/2} = \sum_1^{g-1} P_{\alpha,i}$. In fact a 1/2 differential h_α with divisor $\sum_1^{g-1} P_{\alpha,i}$ can be obtained via

$$h_\alpha(P)^2 = \sum \left(\frac{\partial \Theta[\alpha]}{\partial z_j}\right)(0) d\omega_j(P) \tag{3.9}$$

Then the (Fay-Klein) prime form of Σ_g is defined as the multivalued $-1/2 \times -1/2$ differential on $\Sigma_g \times \Sigma_g$ given by

$$E(P, P') = -E(P', P) = \frac{\Theta[\alpha](\mathcal{A}(P - P'))}{h_\alpha(P) h_\alpha(P')} \tag{3.10}$$

(note $\mathcal{A}(P - P') = \mathcal{A}(P) - \mathcal{A}(P') = (\int_{P'}^{P} d\omega_j)$ and $\alpha \sim$ arbitrary odd characteristic). This has the following properties:

- $E(P, P')$ has a single zero at $P = P'$
- If z_1, z_2 are the coordinates of P_1, P_2 then near $P_1 = P_2$

$$E(P_1, P_2) = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{\sqrt{dz_1}\sqrt{dz_2}}(1 + O(z_1 - z_2)^2))$$

- As a function of P the prime form is single valued around the A cycles but multivalued around the B cycles; upon going around B_j one has $E(P, P') \to E(P, P') exp[-i\pi B_{jj} - 2\pi i \mathcal{A}_j(P - P')]$

One can also use E to construct a differential of third kind with first order poles at P_1 and P_2 obtained via

$$d\omega(P_1, P_2) = d_P log\left(\frac{E(P, P_1)}{E(P, P_2)}\right) \tag{3.11}$$

Further, given that $D = P_1 + \cdots + P_n - Q_1 - \cdots - Q_n$ is the divisor of a meromorphic function one can express this function via

$$f(z) = \frac{\prod_1^n E(z, P_i)}{\prod_1^n E(z, Q_i)} \tag{3.12}$$

REMARK 3.1 The notation in [27] is slightly different in that $\theta(x)$ is defined via (we use small θ and small b for [27])

$$\theta(z') = \theta[0](z') = \sum exp\left(\frac{1}{2} m b m^T + z' m^T\right);\ \theta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z') = \tag{3.13}$$

$$= \sum e^{\frac{1}{2}(m+\alpha)b(m+\alpha)^T + (z' + 2\pi i\beta)(m+\alpha)^T} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha b \alpha^T + (z' + 2\pi i \beta)\alpha^T} \theta(z' + e')$$

where $e' = 2\pi i\beta + \alpha b = (\beta,\alpha)\binom{2\pi i}{b}$ and the period matrix b is symmetric with $\Re b < 0$. In this context

$$E'(x',y') = \frac{\theta[\delta](y'-x')}{h'_\delta(x')h'_\delta(y')};\ (h'_\delta)^2(x') = \sum_1^g \left(\frac{\partial\theta[\delta](0)}{\partial z'_i}\right) d\omega'(x') \tag{3.14}$$

Comparing with the theta function of (3.6) etc. we see that $b \sim 2\pi iB$ and $z' \sim 2\pi iz$ with $\alpha \sim \alpha_1$ and $\beta \sim \alpha_2$. We will want to use later a formula from [27] involving $d_{x'}log\,E'(x',y')$ as a local Cauchy kernel and since the formulas connected with this have an invariant meaning on Σ_g we will simply transplant the notation to E and Θ as in (3.6) and (3.10) (note e.g. $z' = \alpha z \Rightarrow f_z dz = f_{z'}dz'$). A tedious calculation could of course be made using the comparisons just indicated but that seems unnecessary.

Thus keeping our standard notation with $\Im B > 0$ one notes from [27] that $\mathcal{Z}_p(x) = (d/dx)log\,E(x,p)$ has a pole of residue 1 at $x = p$ and $[\mathcal{Z}_b(x) - \mathcal{Z}_a(x)]dx = d\omega_{b-a}(x) = \int_a^b d_x d_y log\,E(x,y)dy$ (cf. (3.24)). It is shown in [27] that for f holomorphic in a neighborhood U of p one has

$$f(p) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial U} f(x)\mathcal{Z}_p(x)dx \tag{3.15}$$

so Z_p is a local Cauchy kernel. Next recall for $s \geq 1$, $d\Omega_s = (-sz^{-s-1} - \sum_1^\infty q_{ms}z^{m-1})dz$ with $q_{ms} = q_{sm}$ (via the Riemann bilinear relations) or alternatively, $d\Omega_s = (s\lambda^{s-1} + \sum_1^\infty q_{ms}\lambda^{-m-1})d\lambda$ ($\lambda \sim k$). Similarly $d\omega_j = -\sum_1^\infty \sigma_{jm}z^{m-1}dz = \sum_1^\infty \sigma_{jm}\lambda^{-m-1}d\lambda$. Consider now $\hat{\omega}_2(z,\zeta) = \partial_\zeta\partial_z logE(z,\zeta) = \partial_\zeta(E_z/E) = (E_{z\zeta}/E) - (E_zE_\zeta/E^2)$ and via $E_z \neq 0$, $E_\zeta \neq 0$ at $z = \zeta$ the last term looks like $1/(z-\zeta)^2$ (note also $\hat{\omega}_2 dz$ has zero A_i periods - cf. [54]). This is a standard way of generating a differential with a second order pole. From this one picks up differentials of the second kind with poles of order $n+1$ at $z = \zeta$ via $\hat{\omega}_{n+1} = \partial_\zeta^{n-1}\hat{\omega}_2(z,\zeta)/n!$ ($n = 2,\cdots$) for example and we note that (cf. [4, 60])

$$\oint_{B_i}\hat{\omega}_{n+1}(z,\zeta)dz = \frac{2\pi i}{n!}D_\zeta^{n-1}f_i(\zeta) \tag{3.16}$$

where $d\omega_i = f_i d\zeta$. Further (cf. (3.11) with $z \sim P$)

$$\oint_{\vec{B}} d\omega(z,P,\hat{P}) = 2\pi i\int_{\hat{P}}^P d\omega \tag{3.17}$$

where $\vec{B} \sim (B_1,\cdots,B_g)$ and $d\omega \sim (d\omega_1,\cdots,d\omega_g)$ represents the standard holomorphic differentials. Here the relation (3.17) follows from standard bilinear identities as does

$$\oint_{B_k}\hat{\omega}_2 dz = 2\pi i\frac{d\omega_k}{d\zeta} \tag{3.18}$$

and (3.16) follows from (3.18).

Now one can write ($z \sim 1/k$)

$$\hat{\omega}_2(z,\zeta)dzd\zeta = d_z d_\zeta\,log\,E(z,\zeta) = -\sum_1^\infty d\Omega_s(z)\zeta^{s-1}d\zeta = \tag{3.19}$$

$$= -\sum_1^\infty \left[-sz^{-s-1} - \sum_1^\infty q_{ms} z^{m-1}\right] \zeta^{s-1} d\zeta = \sum_1^\infty s z^{-s-1} \zeta^{s-1} dz d\zeta +$$

$$+ \sum_{m,s=1}^\infty z^{m-1}\zeta^{s-1} dz d\zeta = \frac{dz d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^2} + \sum_{m,s=1}^\infty q_{ms} z^{m-1}\zeta^{s-1} dz d\zeta$$

$$\hat{\omega}_{n+1}(z,\zeta) dz d\zeta = \partial_\zeta^{n-1} \frac{\hat{\omega}_2(z,\zeta)}{n!} dz d\zeta = \tag{3.20}$$

$$= -[\frac{(n-1)!}{n!} d\Omega_n(z) + \sum_{n+1}^\infty \begin{pmatrix} s-1 \\ n \end{pmatrix} d\Omega_s(z) \zeta^{s-n}] d\zeta$$

This leads to

$$d\Omega_n(z) d\zeta = -n\hat{\omega}_{n+1}(z,0) dz d\zeta = -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \partial_\zeta^{n-1} \hat{\omega}_2(x,\zeta)|_{\zeta=0} dz d\zeta \ (n \geq 1) \tag{3.21}$$

$$\Omega_{sm} \sim \oint_{B_m} d\Omega_s \sim \oint_{B_m} \hat{\omega}_{s+1} dz|_{\zeta=0} = \tag{3.22}$$

$$= \frac{1}{s!} D_\zeta^{s-1} \oint_{b_m} \hat{\omega}_2 dz|_{\zeta=0} = \frac{2\pi i}{s!} D_\zeta^{s-1} f_m(\zeta)|_{\zeta=0}$$

Now to apply this to the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ of (3.5) we write $\zeta \sim (1/\lambda)$ and $z \sim (1/\mu)$ with λ, $\mu \to \infty$. One can write $\mathcal{K}$ in the form

$$\mathcal{K}(\mu,\lambda) = \sum_1^\infty \frac{d\Omega_j}{d\Omega_1}(\lambda) \frac{\mu^{-j}}{j} \equiv \sum_1^\infty \frac{d\Omega_j}{d\Omega_1}(\zeta) \frac{z^j}{j} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(z,\zeta) \tag{3.23}$$

We can also write from above

$$\mathcal{Z}_z(\zeta) = d_\zeta log\, E(\zeta, z); \ [\mathcal{Z}_z(\zeta) - \mathcal{Z}_w(\zeta)] d\zeta = \tag{3.24}$$

$$= d\omega_{z-w} = \left(\int_w^z \partial_\zeta \partial_y log E(\zeta, y) dy\right) d\zeta$$

From (3.19) we have

$$\left(\int_w^z \partial_\zeta \partial_y log\, E(\zeta, y) dy\right) d\zeta = \left[\int_w^z \left(\frac{dy}{(y-\zeta)^2} + \sum q_{ms} \zeta^{m-1} y^{s-1}\right) dy\right] d\zeta = \tag{3.25}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{w-\zeta} - \frac{1}{z-\zeta} + \sum_{m,s=1}^\infty \frac{q_{ms}}{s} \zeta^{m-1}(z^s - w^s)\right] d\zeta$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{Z}_z(\zeta) = \left[\frac{1}{\zeta - z} + \sum_{m,s=1}^\infty \frac{q_{ms}}{s} \zeta^{m-1} z^s\right] d\zeta \tag{3.26}$$

Now write out $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(z,\zeta)$ as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(z,\zeta)=\frac{1}{d\Omega_1(\zeta)}\sum_1^\infty\frac{z^j}{j}\left[-j\zeta^{-j-1}-\sum_1^\infty q_{mj}\zeta^{m-1}\right]d\zeta= \tag{3.27}$$

$$=\frac{1}{d\Omega_1(\zeta)}\sum\left[\frac{1}{\zeta}-\frac{1}{\zeta-z}-\sum_{m,j=1}^\infty\frac{q_{mj}}{j}\zeta^{m-1}z^j\right]d\zeta=\frac{1}{d\Omega_1(\zeta)}\left[\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}-\mathcal{Z}_z(\zeta)\right]$$

(note $(1/\zeta)-(1/(\zeta-z))=-\sum_1^\infty(z^j/\zeta^{j+1})$). This leads to

THEOREM 3.2. The kernel $\mathcal{K}$ of (3.5) or equivalently $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ of (3.23) can be written in the form (3.27) in terms of the local Cauchy kernel $\mathcal{Z}_z(\zeta)$.

REMARK 3.3. From (3.1) we have $K(\mu,\lambda)=1/(P(\mu)-P(\lambda))$ where $P\sim d\Omega_1$ on the Riemann surface. Then formally and heuristically on the Riemann surface we are looking at

$$\sum d\Omega_j(\lambda)\frac{\mu^{-j}}{j}\sim\frac{d\Omega_1(\lambda)}{\Omega_1(\mu)-\Omega_1(\lambda)}=\frac{dp(\lambda)}{p(\mu)-p(\lambda)} \tag{3.28}$$

In terms of z, ζ this leads to

$$\sum d\Omega_j(\zeta)\frac{z^j}{j}=\frac{dp(\zeta)}{p(z)-p(\zeta)}=\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}-\mathcal{Z}_z(\zeta) \tag{3.29}$$

Note here for consistency $\Upsilon=(d\zeta/\zeta)-(d\zeta/(\zeta-z))=-(zd\zeta/\zeta(\zeta-z))$ and for $\zeta=1/\lambda,\ z=1/\mu$, and $d\zeta=-(1/\lambda^2)d\lambda$ we obtain $\Upsilon=d\lambda/(\mu-\lambda)$ as expected from (3.1).

4 KERNELS BASED ON $\psi\psi^*$

We go back to the BA function for KP as in (2.1) so that $\psi(\vec{t},k)$ is meromorphic in Σ_g/∞ with simple poles at $D\sim P_1,\cdots,P_g$ and no other singularities in Σ_g/∞ (here $\vec{t}=(t_i)$ with $t_1=x$, $t_2=y$, $t_3=t$, etc.). We use k^{-1} as the local coordinate at ∞ so $\psi\sim exp[\xi(\vec{t},k)]\cdot(1+\sum_1^\infty\chi_ik^{-i})$ for $|k|$ large where $\xi(\vec{t},k)=\sum_1^\infty t_ik^i\sim q(k)$. For $t_{2i}=0$ this is a KdV situation. The BA conjugate differential $\psi^\dagger(\vec{t},\mu)$ can be defined via (♣) $\psi^\dagger=\psi^*d\hat{\Omega}\sim exp[-\xi(\vec{t},k)](1+\sum_1^\infty\xi_i^*k^{-i})(1+(\beta/k^2)+\cdots)dk$ where $d\hat{\Omega}$ is the meromorphic differential indicated in Section 2 with zeros at $D+D^*$ and a double pole at ∞. Then one has

$$\oint_C\psi(k,\vec{t})\psi^\dagger(k,\vec{t}')dk=\oint_C\psi(k,\vec{t})\psi^*(k,\vec{t}')d\hat{\Omega}=0 \tag{4.1}$$

for C a small contour around ∞ (Hirota bilinear identity) and

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty\psi(k,\vec{t})\psi^\dagger(k',\vec{t})dx=2\pi i\delta(k-k') \tag{4.2}$$

for $\Im p(k)=\Im p(k')$. In (4.2) the contour could apparently be a closed curve through ∞ for example (which would become a straight line in the - degenerate - scattering situation).

This point is however consistently overlooked and should be further examined (cf. also [18, 19]). Next the Cauchy-Baker-Akhiezer (CBA) kernel $\omega(k,k',\vec{t})$ is defined via (we give an expanded form later and cf. also [58, 64] for kernels)

$$d\omega(k,k',x,y,t,\cdots)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\pm\infty}^{x}\psi(k,x',y,t,\cdots)\psi^{\dagger}(k',x',y,t,\cdots)dx' \tag{4.3}$$

According to [32, 33, 34] this kernel is to have the following properties: (**A**) $d\omega(k,k',\vec{t})$ is a function in k and a one form in k' (**B**) $d\omega(k,k',\vec{t})$ is meromorphic in k in Σ_g/∞ with simple poles at $P_1,\cdots,P_g,k'$ (**C**) As a function of k', $d\omega$ is meromorphic in Σ/∞ with one pole k and zeros at $P_1,\cdots,P_g$ (**D**) $d\omega(k,k',\vec{t})=O(exp[\xi(k,\vec{t})])$ as $k\to\infty$ (**E**) $\omega(k,k',\vec{t})=O(exp[-\xi(k',\vec{t})])$ as $k'\to\infty$ (**F**) $\omega(k,k',\vec{t})\sim(dk'/2\pi i(k'-k))$ as $k\to k'$.

The material on the prime form is well discussed in [27, 54] for example (cf. also [39]) but the proofs in [32, 33, 34] regarding (4.2), (4.3), and some of the properties (**A**) - (**F**) of the CBA kernel are somewhat unclear so we will give a little discussion in various contexts (cf. also [63]). Formula (4.1) with ψ^* in place of $\psi^{\dagger}$ (Hirota bilinear identity) can be proved in various traditional manners (cf. [9, 18]) so we omit comment. Now look at the integrands in (4.2) and (4.3) for large k,k' and $\Im p(k)=\Im p(k')$, namely, $\psi(k,\vec{t})\psi^{\dagger}(k',\vec{t})\sim exp[\sum t_n(k^n-k'^n)](1+\sum\xi_i k^{-i})(1+\sum\xi_j^* k'^{-j})(1+(\beta/k)+\cdots)dk'$. As $x=t_1$ varies one has a multiplier $exp[x(k-k')]$ with $|exp[x(k-k')]|=exp[x\Re(k-k')]$ (note $dp\sim -idk$ so for large k, $k',\Im p(k')=\Im p(k)\sim\Re k=\Re k'$). Thus $exp[x(k-k')]\sim exp[ix(\Im k-\Im k')]$ and (4.2) has a Fourier intergal flavor. On the other hand from $\partial_n\psi=B_n\psi$ and $\partial_n\psi^{\dagger}=-B_n^*\psi^{\dagger}$ one obtains ($\Im p(k')=\Im p(k)$)

$$\partial_n\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\psi\psi^{\dagger}dx=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[(B_n\psi)\psi^{\dagger}-\psi(B_n^*\psi^{\dagger})]dx=0 \tag{4.4}$$

provided integration by parts is permitted (not perhaps obviously valid but one can assume it under reasonable circumstances). Note that no x,y,t dependence is introduced in passing from ψ^* to $\psi^{\dagger}$ so $\partial_n\psi^{\dagger}=-B_n^*\psi^{\dagger}$ follows from $\partial_n\psi^*=-B_n^*\psi^*$. Further for large t_n and $k'\neq k$, $|\psi\psi^*|\sim O(|exp[t_n(k^n-k'^n)]|)=exp[t_n\Re(k^n-k'^n)]\to 0$ for some n when $t_n\to\infty$ or $-\infty$ (with $\Im p(k')=\Im p(k)$ or not). This (with (4.4)) implies that the integral in (4.2) is 0 for $k'\neq k$ and $\Im p(k')=\Im p(k)$. To show that (4.2) actually gives a delta function for $\Im p(k')=\Im p(k)$ one is referred in [32] to [47] for a discrete version whose proof is said to be extendable (the result is of course natural, up to normalization). Finally it is clear that the $\pm\infty$ limit of the integral in (4.3) may change when k crosses the path $\Im p(k)=\Im p(k')$. In this regard recall $|exp[x(k'-k)]|=exp[x(\Re k'-\Re k)]$ and $\Im p(k)\sim -\Re k$ for k large. Hence ω in (4.3) can have a jump discontinuity across the curve $C:\ \Im p(k)=\Im p(k')$. To see this and to show that $\omega(k,k')$ is nevertheless continuous for $k\neq k'$ let $I\subset C$ be a small arc seqment and $D\supset I$ a small open set with boundary ∂D. Then $\int_D\bar{\partial}\omega dkd\bar{k}=\int_{\partial D}\omega dk$ by Stokes theorem. Think of I as a small straight line segment with D shrunken down around I to be lines above and below with little end curves. One obtains in an obvious notation

$$\int_D\bar{\partial}d\omega dkd\bar{k}=\int_I(d\omega_+-d\omega_-)dk= \tag{4.5}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_I \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(k)\psi^{\dagger}(k')dxdk = \begin{cases} 0 & for\ k' \notin I \\ 1 & for\ k' \in I \end{cases}$$

via (4.2) and (4.3) (using the change in integration limit in (4.3)). The other properties in (**A**) - (**E**) are more or less natural. Property (**F**) is suggested by e.g. $(1/2\pi i)\int_{-\infty}^{x} \psi\psi^{\dagger}dx'dk' \sim [1/2\pi i(k-k')]\cdot\int_{-\infty}^{x} exp[x'(k-k')]\cdot O(1)dx'dk'$ when say $\Re k > \Re k',\ k' \to k$ and $x > 0$ with the expectation here that $1/(k-k')$ will emerge from the integration (cf. Theorem 4.3). We will indicate other formulas for $d\omega$ and $\psi^{\dagger}$ below which accord with (4.1), (4.2), and (**A**) - (**F**).

Now consider from (4.3) $\partial_x d\omega(k,k',\vec{t}) = (1/2\pi i)\psi(k,\vec{t})\psi^{\dagger}(k',\vec{t})$ where $\psi^{\dagger}$ is given via $\psi^{\dagger} = \psi^* d\hat{\Omega}$ as indicated above. Let us rephrase the construction of BA functions etc. now in the notation of [2] (cf. also [13, 40]). In (2.1) for example we pick $d\Omega^1 \sim d\Omega_1 = dk + \cdots$, $d\Omega^j \sim d\Omega_j = d(k^j) + \cdots$ with $\oint_{A_i} d\Omega_j = 0$ (or sometimes $\Re\oint_{A_i} d\Omega_j = 0 = \Re\oint_{B_i} d\Omega_j$), and recall $dp \sim d\Omega_1$. The flow variables arise via $q(k)$ in Section 2, but the Riemann surface contributes via the argument $xU + yV + yW + \cdots \sim \sum t_j(\Omega_{jk})$, where $\Omega_{jk} = \oint_{B_k} d\Omega_j$, in the theta function, to establish linear flows on the Jacobian $J(\Sigma_g)$ (note from (3.21) that our $d\Omega_n \sim \omega_n$ in [2]). For background here we note also that the zeros of $\Theta[0](z)$ are the points $z = \Delta - \mathcal{A}(\sum_1^{g-1} P_i)$ with arbitrary $P_1, \cdots, P_{g-1}$. Then given a positive divisor $D = P_1 + \cdots + P_g$ the multivalued function of $P \in \Sigma_g$ defined by $f(P) = \Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + \Delta - \mathcal{A}(D))$ vanishes at $P = P_1, \cdots, P_g$. Note that for divisors satisfying a relation (••) $\mathcal{A}(D) = 2\Delta - \mathcal{A}(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{g-2})$ with arbitrary Q_i, we have $f(P) = \Theta(\Delta - \mathcal{A}(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{g-2} + P) \equiv 0$. Such divisors satisfying (••) are called special divisors.

We can write the BA function now in the form

$$\psi = exp(\int_{P_0}^{P} \sum t_n d\Omega_n)\cdot\frac{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + \sum(t_j/2\pi i)(\Omega_{jk}) + z_0)}{\theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + z_0)} \tag{4.6}$$

where $z_0 = -K - \mathcal{A}(D) = \Delta - \mathcal{A}(D) \sim e(D)$ in [2] and $\Theta(z) \sim \Theta[0](z)$; the only change from (2.1) is a factor of $1/2\pi i$ in front of the (Ω_{jk}) (cf. [17] where this is also done in adjusting an argument of [55]). We recall as before in Section 2.1 that the path of integration $\int_{P_0}^{P}$ is to be the same for all factors in (4.6) and then ψ is a single valued function of $P \in \Sigma_g$. For the dual BA function one uses a dual divisor D^* as before in Section 2.1 with $D + D^* - 2Q \sim K_{\Sigma}$ where $Q \sim P_{\infty}$. Then one can write ψ^* as in (2.2) with $\vec{U}$ replaced by $\sum(t_j/2\pi i)(\Omega_{jk})$, i.e.

$$\psi^* \sim e^{-\int_{P_o}^{P}\sum t_n d\Omega_n}\cdot\frac{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) - \sum(t_j/2\pi i)(\Omega_{jk}) + z_0^*)}{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + z_0^*)} \tag{4.7}$$

The differential $d\hat{\Omega}$ can be written as in [2], namely

$$d\hat{\Omega}(P') = \frac{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P') + z_0)\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P') + z_0^*)}{E(P, P_{\infty})^2} \tag{4.8}$$

This is shown to be single valued in [2] and replaces here an earlier (multivalued) version of [13]. Note also that the $1/E^2$ term can be computed as in [2] and gives rise to a dk' in the numerator as needed (see Remark 4.2). Then (4.3) can be written as

$$d\omega(P,P',x,y,t,\cdots) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\pm\infty}^{x} \psi(k,x',y,t,\cdots)\psi^*(k',x',y,t,\cdots)d\hat{\Omega}(k')dx' \tag{4.9}$$

and simplified as

$$d\omega_x(P,P',\vec{t}) = \frac{e^{(\int_{P_0}^{P} - \int_{P_0}^{P'})d\Omega}}{2\pi i}\cdot \tag{4.10}$$

$$\cdot\frac{\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + \sum(t_j/2\pi i)(\Omega_{jk}) + z_0)\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P') - \sum(t_j/2\pi i)(\Omega_{jk}) + z_0^*)\Theta(\mathcal{A}(P') + z_0)}{\theta(\mathcal{A}(P) + z_0)E(P',P_\infty)^2}$$

Hence we have

THEOREM 4.1. A path independent expression for $d\omega_x$ having the essential poles and singularities stipulated in (**A**) - (**F**) can be written as in (4.10).

REMARK 4.2. A definition of $d\omega$ via $\psi\psi^*$ (instead of $\psi\psi^\dagger$) is appropriate in dealing with dispersionless limits but in order to have a Cauchy kernel the poles from $\psi\psi^*$ should be eliminated (hence $\psi^\dagger$). This leads to $\psi^\dagger$ as in (♣) and $d\omega_x$ as in (4.10). The pole in $d\omega$ at $k = k'$ will emerge from the integration as indicated before (cf. also Theorem 4.3) and we will have a discontinuous Cauchy kernel analogue in the spirit of [64] (cf. also [13]). The expression for $1/E^2$ in [2] is given as

$$\frac{1}{E(k^{-1},P_\infty)^2} = -exp\left(\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} C_{nm}\frac{k^{-m-n}}{mn}\right)dk \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$C_{mn} = -\frac{1}{(n-1)!(m-1)!}\partial_z^n\partial_{z'}^m log\left(\frac{E(z,z')}{z-z'}\right)\Big|_{z=z'=0} \tag{4.12}$$

Let us also note here, using (3.25) that

$$C_{mn} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!(n-1)!}\partial_y^{m-1}\partial_\zeta^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{(\zeta-y)^2} - \partial_\zeta\partial_y\, log\, E(\zeta,y)\right]\Big|_{y=\zeta=0} = \tag{4.13}$$

$$= \frac{-1}{(m-1)!(n-1)!}\partial_y^{m-1}\partial_\zeta^{n-1}\left[\sum_{p,x=1}^{\infty} q_{ps}\zeta^{p-1}y^{s-1}\right]\Big|_{y=\zeta=0}$$

leading to $C_{mn} = -q_{mn}$ for $m,n \geq 1$. We recall now from [1] that the differential Fay identity leads to

$$\psi^*(\vec{t},\lambda)\psi(\vec{t},\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}\partial\{\frac{X(\vec{t},\lambda,\mu)\tau(\vec{t})}{\tau(\vec{t})}\} = \tag{4.14}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}\partial\{e^{\sum t_j(\mu^j-\lambda^j)}\frac{\tau(\vec{t}+[\lambda^{-1}]-[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(\vec{t})}\}$$

Given the equivalence of the dispersionless differential Fay identity with the kernel expansion (2.25) or (3.1) one expects (4.14) to be at least formally useful in studying $d\omega$ in (4.3) since (4.14) implies

$$d\omega \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i}\partial_{x'}^{-1}[\psi^*(x',t_n,\lambda)\psi(x',t_n,\mu)]d\hat{\Omega}(\lambda) \sim \tag{4.15}$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\mu-\lambda}\frac{X(\vec{t},\lambda,\mu)\tau(\vec{t})}{\tau(\vec{t})}d\hat{\Omega}(\lambda)$$

modulo integration or normalization factors (note (4.15) implies $d\omega \sim d\lambda/2\pi i(\mu-\lambda)$ as $\mu \to \lambda$). Hence one should be able to determine easily a dispersionless limit for $d\omega$ (thinking of asymptotic expansions around ∞). Thus as in [10, 62] we express τ via $\tau \sim exp(F(T)/\epsilon^2)$ and write

$$d\omega_\epsilon \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d\hat{\Omega}(\lambda)}{\mu-\lambda}e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\sum T_i(\mu^i-\lambda^i)}\cdot\frac{\tau(\vec{T}+\epsilon[\lambda^{-1}]-\epsilon[\mu^{-1}])}{\tau(\vec{T})} \tag{4.16}$$

Take logarithms to obtain then

$$log\, d\omega_\epsilon \sim log\frac{1}{2\pi i} - log(\mu-\lambda) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\sum T_i(\mu^i-\lambda^i) + \tag{4.17}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\{F(\vec{T}+\epsilon[\lambda^{-1}]-\epsilon[\mu^{-1}]) - F(\vec{T})\} + log\, d\hat{\Omega}$$

The next to last term can be written as ($\chi_n \sim$ elementary Schur functions)

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\{e^{\sum\lambda^{-i}\frac{\epsilon\partial_i}{i}}\cdot e^{-\sum\mu^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\partial_i}{i}}F - F\} = \tag{4.18}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\{\sum_0^\infty \chi_n(\epsilon\tilde{\partial})\lambda^{-n}\cdot\sum_0^\infty \chi_m(-\epsilon\tilde{\partial})\mu^{-m}F - F\} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\{\sum_1^\infty(\ )_n + \sum_1^\infty(\ )_m + \sum_1^\infty\sum_1^\infty(\ )_n(\ )_m\}$$

Now we have (cf. (cf. [10, 62])

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\sum_1^\infty\sum_1^\infty(\ )_n(\ )_m \to -\sum_1^\infty\sum_1^\infty\frac{F_{nm}}{nm}\lambda^{-n}\mu^{-m} \tag{4.19}$$

and we recall here from [10]

$$\sum_1^\infty\sum_1^\infty\frac{F_{nm}}{nm}\lambda^{-n}\mu^{-m} = -log(1-\frac{\mu}{\lambda}) - \sum_1^\infty\frac{Q_n(\mu)}{\lambda^n} = \tag{4.20}$$

$$= -log(\lambda - \mu) + log\lambda - \sum_1^\infty Q_n(\mu)\lambda^{-n} = log[\frac{P(\lambda) - P(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu}]$$

(cf. also Section 2). Now try an expression $d\omega_\epsilon = f(\lambda, \mu)exp(R/\epsilon)d\hat{\Omega}$ which will entrain

$$\frac{R}{\epsilon} + log\, f \sim log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}) - log(\mu - \lambda) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\sum T_i(\mu^i - \lambda^i) + \tag{4.21}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\{\sum_1^\infty(\ )_n + \sum_1^\infty(\ )_m - \sum_1^\infty\sum_1^\infty(\ )_n(\ )_m\}$$

Multiply by ϵ and let $\epsilon \to 0$ to obtain

$$R \sim \sum T_i(\mu^i - \lambda^i) + \sum_1^\infty \frac{F_n}{n}\lambda^{-n} - \sum_1^\infty \frac{F_m}{m}\mu^{-m} \tag{4.22}$$

which leads to

$$log\, f \sim log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}) - log(\mu - \lambda) - log(\frac{P(\mu) - P(\lambda)}{\mu - \lambda}) \tag{4.23}$$

This implies via [10] and Section 2

$$\partial_X R = (\mu - \lambda) + \sum_1^\infty \frac{F_{1n}}{n}(\lambda^{-n} - \mu^{-n}) = P(\mu) - P(\lambda) \tag{4.24}$$

and

$$log\, f = -log[P(\mu) - P(\lambda)] + log(\frac{1}{2\pi i}) \tag{4.25}$$

Thus $d\omega_\epsilon \sim (1/2\pi i)[1/(P(\mu) - P(\lambda))]exp\{1/\epsilon)[S(\mu) - S(\lambda)]\}d\hat{\Omega}$ and $\partial_x\, log\, d\omega_\epsilon \sim P(\mu) - P(\lambda)$ $(\partial_x = \epsilon\partial_X)$. Note $\partial_X S = P$ in the notation of [10] implies

$$\partial_X R = \partial_X[S(\mu) - S(\lambda)] \Rightarrow R \sim S(\mu) - S(\lambda) \tag{4.26}$$

One can therefore state

THEOREM 4.3. A dispersionless kernel analogous to ω can be modeled on (4.15), to be extracted from

$$2\pi i\, d\omega_\epsilon \sim \frac{d\hat{\Omega}(\lambda)}{P(\mu) - P(\lambda)}e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}[S(\mu) - S(\lambda)]} \tag{4.27}$$

(recall also $\psi \sim exp(S/\epsilon)$ and $\psi^* \sim exp(-S/\epsilon)$ in the dispersionless theory). Thus $2\pi i\, d\omega_\epsilon \sim \psi\psi^* d\hat{\Omega}$ in (4.27) gives (♣♣) $\partial_x(log\, d\omega_\epsilon) \sim \partial_x\frac{1}{\epsilon}[S(\mu) - S(\lambda)] \sim P(\mu) - P(\lambda)$. On the other hand (following [17]), given $p(\mu) =< log\psi(\vec{t}, \mu) >$ (ergodic averaging), we have from (4.15) (♠♠) $< \partial_x log\, d\omega >= p(\mu) - p(\lambda)$. This indicates an interesting relation between $K(\mu, \lambda) \sim \mathcal{K}(\mu.\lambda)$ and $d\omega$ (the latter being based on $\psi\psi^\dagger$), as well as a connection between e.g. $p(\lambda)$ and $P(\lambda)$.

REMARK 4.4. Referring now to [10] and Section 2, we recall that (•♡•) and $\partial_n P =$

$\partial\mathcal{B}_n$ represent the dKP hierarchy, which is characterized by the dispersionless differential Fay identity, or equivalently by the kernel formula (2.25). Thus the slow variables are inserted by hand with τ subsequently represented via (2.16), and (2.25) arises to characterize dKP (along with $\partial_m\partial_n F = F_{mn}$ corresponding to $\partial_n P = \partial\mathcal{B}_n$). The connection to Riemann surfaces involves then $\partial_n d\mathcal{S} = d\Omega_n$ as in (3.3) with Whitham equations based on $\partial_n dp = \partial d\Omega_n$ or $\partial_n p = \partial\Omega_n$, leading formally to $\partial^{-1}\partial_{mn}p = \partial_m\Omega_n = \partial_n\Omega_m$ by compatibility (cf. [17]). One can also argue via zero curvature equations as in [17, 49, 62]. Now in [2] (cf. also [17, 55]) it is shown that the Hirota bilinear identity for ψ of the form (4.6) leads automatically to τ of the form

$$\tau = exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum C_{mn}t_nt_m\right)\times\Theta\left(\mathcal{A}(P_\infty)+z_0+\sum(\frac{t_j}{2\pi i}(\Omega_{jk})\right) \tag{4.28}$$

($C_{mn} = -q_{mn}$) satisfying the corresponding Hirota bilinear identity. Then as in [17, 55] one arrives at an asymptotic form $\tau = exp[(F/\epsilon^2) + O(1/\epsilon)]$ with the quadratic part of F equal to $(1/2)\sum q_{mn}T_nT_m$. Hence one obtains $F_{mn} \sim q_{mn}$ and a Riemann surface version of dKP corresponds to the Whitham equations. Indeed we note that from $d\Omega_n \sim z^{-n} - \sum(q_{mn}/m)z^m \sim z^{-n} - \sum(F_{mn}/m)z^m$ one has

$$\partial_k\Omega_n = -\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{F_{mnk}}{m}z^m = \partial_n\Omega_k = -\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty}\frac{F_{mkn}}{m}z^m \tag{4.29}$$

References

[1] M. Adler and P. vanMoerbeke, Comm. Math. Phys., 147 (1992), 25-56; Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 47 (1994), 5-37

[2] L. Martinez Alonso and E. Moreno, Chaos, solitons, and fractals, 5 (1995), 2213-2237

[3] L. Alvarez Gaumé, C. Gomez, G. Moore, and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B, 305 (1988), 455-521

[4] L. Alvarez Gaumé and C. Reina, Strings and superstrings, World Scientific, 1988, pp. 135-216

[5] L. Alvarez Gaumé, and C. Vafa, Comm. Math. Phys., 106 (1986), 1-40

[6] S. Aoyama and Y. Kodama, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 9 (1994), 2481-2492; hep-th 9505122

[7] H. Baker, Abelian functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1897

[8] E. Belokolos, A. Bobenko, V. Enolskij, A. Its, and V. Matveev, Algebro-geometric approach to nonlinear integrable equations, Springer, 1994

[9] R. Carroll, Topics in soliton theory, North-Holland, 1991

[10] R. Carroll and Y. Kodama, Solution of the dispersionless Hirota equations, hep-th 9506007, Jour. Phys. A, 28 (1995), 6373-6387

[11] R. Carroll, Jour. Nonlin. Sci., 4 (1994), 519-544; Teor. Mat. Fizika, 99 (1994), 220-225

[12] R. Carroll, Proc. NEEDS 94, World Scientific, 1995, pp. 24-33

[13] R. Carroll, solv-int 9511009

[14] R. Carroll, solv-int 9606005, Proc. Second World Congress Nonlinear Analysts, Athens, 1996, North-Holland, to appear

[15] R. Carroll, hep-th 9607219, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, to appear

[16] R. Carroll, hep-th 9610216

[17] R. Carroll and J. Chang, The Whitham equations revisited, to appear

[18] R. Carroll and B. Konopelchenko, Lett. Math. Phys., 28 (1993), 307-319

[19] R. Carroll, Amer. Math. Soc. Contemp. Math., Vol. 122 (1991), pp. 23-28; Proc. NEEDS Workshop, Dubna, 1990, Skpringer, 1991, pp. 2-5

[20] I. Cherednik, Basic methods of soliton theory, World Scientific, 1996

[21] E. D'Hoker, I. Krichever, and D. Phong, hep-th 9609041, 9609145, and 9610156

[22] B. Dubrovin and S. Novikov, Russ. Math. Surv., 44 (1989), 35-124

[23] B. Dubrovin, Russ. Math. Surv., 36 (1981), 11-92

[24] B. Dubrovin, I. Krichever, and S. Novikov, Math. Phys. Rev., 3 (1982), 1-150

[25] B. Dubrovin and S. Novikov, Math. Phys. Rev., 9 (1991), 3-136

[26] H. Farkas and I. Kra, Riemann surfaces, Springer, 1992

[27] J. Fay, Theta functions on Riemann surfaces, Springer Lect. Notes Math., 352, 1973

[28] O. Forster, Lectures on Riemann surfaces, Springer, 1992

[29] F. Fucito, A. Gamba, M. Martellini, and O. Ragnisco, Inter. Jour. Mod. Phys. B, 6 (1992), 2123-2147

[30] J. Gibbons and Y. Kodama, Singular limits of dispersive waves, Plenum, 1994, pp. 61-66

[31] P. Griffiths, Introduction to algebraic curves, Amer. Math. Soc., 1989

[32] P. Grinevich, A. Orlov, and E. Schulman, Important developments in soliton theory, Springer, 1993, pp. 283-301

[33] P. Grinevich and A. Orlov, Problems in modern quantum field theory, Springer, 1989, pp. 86-106

[34] P. Grinevich, Singular limits of dispersive waves, Plenum, 1994, pp. 67-88

[35] R. Gunning, Lectures on Riemann surfaces, Princeton Univ. Press, 1966

[36] Y. Imayoshi and M. Taniguchi, An introduction to Teichmüller spaces, Springer, 1992

[37] H. Itoyama and A. Morozov, hep-th 9511126, 9512161, and 9601168

[38] N. Hawley and M. Schiffer, Acta Math., 115 (1966), 199-236

[39] D. Hejhal, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 129, 1972

[40] N. Kawamoto, Y. Namikawa, A. Tsuchiya, and Y. Yamada, Comm. Math. Phys., 116 (1988), 247-308

[41] Y. Kodama and J. Gibbons, Proceedings Fourth Workshop on Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics, World Scientific, 1990, pp. 166-180

[42] Y. Kodama, Lecture Lyon workshop, 1991, unpublished

[43] Y. Kodama and J. Gibbons, Phys. Lett. A, 135 (1989), 167-170

[44] Y. Kodama, Phys. Lett. A, 129 (1988), 223-226; Prog. Theor. Phys., Supp. 94 (1988), 184-194

[45] N. Kostov, Lett. Math. Phys., 17 (1989), 95-108

[46] I. Krichever, Funct. Anal. Prilozh., 22 (1988), 200-213

[47] I. Krichever, Russ. Math. Surv., 44 (1989), 145-225

[48] I. Krichever, Math. Phys. Rev., 9 (1991), 1-103

[49] I. Krichever, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 47 (1994), 437-475

[50] I. Krichever and D. Phong, hep-th 9604199

[51] I. Krichever, hep-th 9611158

[52] M. Matone, Phys. Lett. B, 357 (1995), 342-348; hep-th 9506181

[53] R. Miranda, Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces, Amer. Math. Soc., 1995

[54] D. Mumford, Lectures on theta, 1 and 2, Birkhäuser, 1983-84

[55] T. Nakatsu and K. Takasaki, hep-th 9509162

[56] S. Novikov, S. Manakov, L. Pitaevskij, and V. Zakharov, Theory of solitons, Plenum, 1984

[57] W. Osgood, Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie, Chelsea, 1965

[58] Yu. Rodin, The Riemann boundary problem on Riemann surfaces, Reidel, 1988

[59] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B, 426 (1994), 19-52

[60] G. Springer, Introduction to Riemann surfaces, Chelsea, 1981

[61] I. Taimanov, alg-geom 9609016

[62] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, Inter. Jour. Mod. Phys. A, Supp. 1992, pp. 889-922; Rev. Math. Phys., 7 (1995), 743-808

[63] A. Yaremchuk, Inverse Probs., 10 (1994), 957-973

[64] E. Zverovich, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 26 (1971), 117-192